

# NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF A SYSTEM OF TWO FIRST ORDER VOLTERRA INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ARISING IN ULTIMATE RUIN THEORY

ATHENA MAKROGLOU<sup>+</sup>, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF PORTSMOUTH, 1ST FLOOR BUCKINGHAM BLDG,  
PORTSMOUTH, HAMPSHIRE, PO1 3HE, ENGLAND, UK.

ATHENA.MAKROGLOU@PORT.AC.UK

DIMITRIOS G. KONSTANTINIDES,  
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND ACTUARIAL-FINANCIAL  
MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF THE AEGEAN, KARLOVASSI, 83200 SAMOS, GREECE  
KONSTANT@AEGEAN.GR

ABSTRACT. This paper considers a model of ultimate ruin theory in the form of a system of two 1st order Volterra integro-differential equations by Albrecher and Boxma (2004) and presents numerical results obtained from the application of polynomial collocation methods.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent article (Makroglou (2004) ([14]), models of ruin theory in the form of second order integro-differential equations were presented together with the computational treatment of one of them by collocation methods.

Ruin theory models for the ultimate time case in the form of first order integro-differential equations can be found for example in the papers by Dickson and Gray (1984) ([4]), Peters and Mangel (1990) ([20]), Makroglou, Harper, Smith (2000) ([15]), Lin, Willmot and Dre-  
kic (2003) ([11]), Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]), Dickson and Wa-  
ters (1996) ([6]), Möller (1996) ([19]), Michaud (1996) ([17]).

---

*Date:* April 2006.

For a brief bibliographical overview of methods of solution of integral and integro-differential equation models used in ruin theory, we refer for example to Makroglou (2003) ([13]).

The notation used is that of Dickson and Waters (2002) ([7]) which was also followed in Makroglou (2004) ([14]). So we let:

- $U(t)$  : surplus process,  $t \geq 0$
- $u$  : initial surplus
- $X_i$  : amount of the  $i$ -th claim
- $N(t)$  : counting process for the  
number of claims up to time  $t$
- $S(t)$  : the accumulated claims process
- $T_i$  : random variables for the  
claim inter-arrival times,  
 $i = 1, \dots, \infty$
- $T$  : time to ruin
- $c$  : insurer's premium  
income/unit time assumed to be  
received continuously and such  
that  $cE(T_i) > E(X_i), \forall i$
- $F$  : distribution function of  
 $X_i$  with density function  $f$

We also let:

- $\psi(u)$  =  $Pr(T < \infty)$  the probability  
of ultimate ruin from  
initial reserve  $u$
- $\phi(u)$  =  $1 - \psi(u)$  the probability of  
ultimate non-ruin  
from initial reserve  $u$
- $\delta$  : non negative parameter  
(interest force, or  
a dummy variable)
- $m_k$  =  $E(X_i^k)$ .

For the classical risk model we have:

$$U(t) = u + ct - S(t) \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i. \quad (1.2)$$

The accumulated claims process  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a compound Poisson process with Poisson parameter  $\lambda$ . The claim sizes  $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N(t)$  are assumed to be independent and identically distributed.

For continuous risk models where the risk process is a variation/extension of the classical compound Poisson process, see for example Dickson and dos Reis (1997) ([5]), Klüppelberg and Stadtmüller (1998) ([10]), Stanford, Stroiński and Lee (2000) ([22]), Kalashnikov and Konstantinides (2000) ([9], Lin, Willmot and Drekić (2003) ([11]).

Other types of continuous risk models include ones where the risk process is perturbed by Brownian motion (cf. Schlegel (1998) ([21])) and ones which allow for the insurance company to invest part of the surplus in bonds and part in the stock market (cf. Gaier and Grandits (2004) ([8])), or allow dependence between claim sizes and claim intervals (cf. Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1])).

For an introduction to models of the claims number process and the claim size distributions, see for example Mikosch (2004) ([18], chapters 2, 3).

This paper presents numerical results obtained from the application of collocation methods to a model applying to ultimate time ruin theory which is in the form of a system of two first order integro-differential equations developed by Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]). It is based on a talk presented at the HERCMA 2006 Conference, Athens University of Economics and Business, 24-26 September, 2006. See also Makroglou and Konstantinides (2005) ([16]).

The organisation of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains a description of the application of polynomial collocation method to a system of two 1st order Volterra integro-differential equations.

In section 3 the model by Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]) is presented.

Section 4 contains the computational results and section 5 some conclusions.

2. COLLOCATION METHODS FOR A SYSTEM OF FIRST ORDER  
VOLTERRA INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

The general form of a system of  $r$  first order Volterra integro-differential equations (VIDEs) is

$$\begin{aligned} y'_i(x) &= G_i(x, y_1(x), \dots, y_r(x), \int_0^x K_i(x, s, y_1(s), \dots, y_r(s)) ds) \\ 0 &\leq x \leq X \\ y_i(0) &= y_{i0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_r(x)$  are the unknown functions and  $G_i, K_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  are given functions. Methods of numerical solution include quadrature methods, linear multistep methods, collocation methods, defect correction methods, Galerkin methods. For an introduction to the subject we refer for example to the books by Linz (1985) ([12]) and by Brunner (2004) ([2]).

(Following for example Brunner, Makroglou, Miller (1997)([3])) and extending to systems of VIDEs).

**Preliminaries, notation**

Consider mesh points

$$\Pi_N : 0 = u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_N (N \geq 1)$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} h_n &= u_{n+1} - u_n, n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ \sigma_0 &= [u_0, u_1], \sigma_n = (u_n, u_{n+1}], n = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1, \\ Z_N &= \{u_n : n = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}, \tilde{Z}_N = Z_N \cup \{u_N\}. \end{aligned}$$

The mesh sequence is assumed to be quasi-uniform, i.e.,

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_n}{\min_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_n} \leq \gamma < \infty$$

uniformly for  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $h = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_n$  and  $m \geq 1$  be a given integer.

$$U_n = \{u_{nj} = u_n + h_n c_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad U(N) = \cup_{n=0}^{N-1} U_n,$$

and the collocation parameters  $c_j$  be such that  $0 \leq c_1 < \dots < c_m \leq 1$ .

The collocation method to be described next, approximates the solution  $\vec{y}(x) = (y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_r(x))^T$  in (2.1)-(2.2) by approximating functions  $\vec{v}(x) = (v_1(x), v_2(x), \dots, v_r(x))^T$  on each subinterval  $\sigma_n$  and will find values of  $\vec{v}(x)$  for  $x \in U(N)$ . These values will be determined by requiring that the system of VIDEs (2.1)-(2.2) is satisfied on  $U(N)$ , i.e. that (in vector form)

$$\vec{v}'(x) = \vec{G}(x, \vec{v}(x), \int_0^x \vec{K}(x, s, \vec{v}(s)) ds, \forall x \in U(N), \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\vec{v}(0) = \vec{y}(0) = \vec{y}_0, \quad (2.4)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_n'(x) &= \vec{G}(x, \vec{v}_n(x), \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{u_i}^{u_{i+1}} \vec{K}(x, s, \vec{v}_i(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{u_n}^x \vec{K}(x, s, \vec{v}_n(s)) ds, \forall x \in U_n, n = 0, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $v_n(x)$  is the restriction of  $v(x)$  in  $\sigma_n$ .

#### Application of the collocation method.

Discretizing equation (2.5) at points  $x = u_{nj}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_n'(u_{nj}) &= \vec{G}(u_{nj}, \vec{v}_n(u_{nj}), \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{u_i}^{u_{i+1}} \vec{K}(u_{nj}, s, \vec{v}_i(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{u_n}^{u_{nj}} \vec{K}(u_{nj}, s, \vec{v}_n(s)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \vec{G}(u_{nj}, \vec{v}_n(u_{nj}), \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i \int_0^1 \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_i + h_i s, \vec{v}_i(u_i + h_i s)) ds \\ &+ c_j h_n \int_0^1 \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_n + c_j h_n s, \vec{v}_n(u_n + c_j h_n s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We now write

$$\vec{v}_n'(u_n + \tau h_n) = \sum_{k=1}^m B_k(\tau) \vec{v}_n'(u_{nk}) \quad (2.8)$$

and thus

$$\vec{v}_n(u_n + \tau h_n) = \vec{v}_n(u_n) + h_n \sum_{k=1}^m w_k(\tau) \vec{v}'_n(u_{nk}), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$w_k(\tau) = \int_0^\tau B_k(s) ds, \quad (2.10)$$

and  $B_k(s)$  are the Lagrange fundamental polynomials.

Using (2.9), (2.10) equation (2.7) is written

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}'_n(u_{nj}) &= \vec{G}(u_{nj}, \vec{v}_n(u_n) + h_n \sum_{k=1}^m w_k(c_j) \vec{v}'_n(u_{nk}), \\ &\quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i \int_0^1 \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_i + h_i s, \vec{v}_i(u_i + h_i s)) ds \\ &\quad + c_j h_n \int_0^1 \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_n + c_j h_n s, \\ &\quad \vec{v}_n(u_n) + h_n \sum_{k=1}^m w_k(c_j s) \vec{v}'_n(u_{nk})) ds). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Approximating the integrals by quadrature rules of interpolatory type we obtain the approximate equations

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{V}_{nj} &= \vec{G}(u_{nj}, \vec{v}_n(u_n) + h_n \sum_{k=1}^m w_k(c_j) \vec{V}_{nk}, \\ &\quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i \sum_{\lambda=1}^m w_\lambda(1) \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_{i\lambda}, \vec{v}_i(u_{i\lambda})) \\ &\quad + c_j h_n \sum_{\lambda=1}^m w_\lambda(1) \vec{K}(u_{nj}, u_n + c_j h_n c_\lambda, \vec{v}_n(u_n) + h_n \sum_{k=1}^m w_k(c_j c_\lambda) \vec{V}_{nk})), \\ n &= 0, 1, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where we have set

$$\vec{V}_{nj} \simeq \vec{v}'_n(u_{nj}). \quad (2.13)$$

We are using  $\vec{v}_n(u_n) = v_{n-1}(u_n)$  in the case that  $c_1 = 0, c_m = 1$ .

For each  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ , equations (2.12) are in general a nonlinear system of  $rm$  equations in  $(\vec{V}_{nj})_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

## 3. THE ALBRECHER AND BOXMA ([1]) EXAMPLE

Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]) consider a generalization of the classical risk model, where the distribution of the time between two claim occurrences depends on the size of the previous claim (claim sizes - claim inter-occurrence times dependence risk model). They derive exact solutions for the probability of survival using Laplace-Stieltjes transforms.

In one of their models (Model 1), the claim occurrence process is assumed to be such that if a claim  $X_i$  is larger than a certain threshold  $H_i$ , then the time until the next claim is exponentially distributed with rate  $\lambda_1$ , otherwise it is exponentially distributed with rate  $\lambda_2$ . It is also assumed that  $\{H_i\}$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with distribution function  $H(\cdot)$ .

The system of integro-differential equations given for  $\phi_i(u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the probability of survival with initial reserve  $u$ , given that the first claim occurs according to the exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda_i$ , are ([1], p. 246)

$$c\phi_1'(u) - \lambda_1\phi_1(u) + \lambda_1 \int_0^u \mathbb{P}(H \leq y)\phi_1(u-y)dF(y) + \lambda_1 \int_0^u \mathbb{P}(H > y)\phi_2(u-y)dF(y) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$c\phi_2'(u) - \lambda_2\phi_2(u) + \lambda_2 \int_0^u \mathbb{P}(H \leq y)\phi_1(u-y)dF(y) + \lambda_2 \int_0^u \mathbb{P}(H > y)\phi_2(u-y)dF(y) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

A number of variations of this model are considered together with several examples in the Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]) paper.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED CLAIMS

Numerical results are presented for one example, that of the system of VIDEs (3.1)-(3.2) from the paper of Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1], p. 246), and in particular

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1'(u) &= \frac{\lambda_1}{c}\phi_1(u) - \frac{\lambda_1}{c} \int_0^u [\mathbb{P}(H \leq y)\phi_1(u-y) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(H > y)\phi_2(u-y)]dF(y),\end{aligned}\quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2'(u) &= \frac{\lambda_2}{c}\phi_2(u) - \frac{\lambda_2}{c} \int_0^u [\mathbb{P}(H \leq y)\phi_1(u-y) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(H > y)\phi_2(u-y)]dF(y).\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

Using  $H(y) = 1 - e^{-\mu y}$  and  $F(y) = 1 - e^{-vy}$  and setting  $w = u - y$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1'(u) &= \frac{\lambda_1}{c}\phi_1(u) - \frac{\lambda_1 v}{c} \int_0^u [e^{-v(u-w)}\phi_1(w) \\ &- e^{-(\mu+v)(u-w)}\phi_1(w) + e^{-(\mu+v)(u-w)}\phi_2(w)]dw,\end{aligned}\quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2'(u) &= \frac{\lambda_2}{c}\phi_2(u) - \frac{\lambda_2 v}{c} \int_0^u [e^{-v(u-w)}\phi_1(w) \\ &- e^{-(\mu+v)(u-w)}\phi_1(w) + e^{-(\mu+v)(u-w)}\phi_2(w)]dw.\end{aligned}\quad (4.4)$$

### True solution

(1)

$$c = 2, \lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 1, \mu = 2, v = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(u) &= 1 - 0.006822555643524832e^{-3.1612304061510854u} \\ &- 0.9384348924092282e^{-0.0645182293014091u}\end{aligned}\quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2(u) &= 1 - 0.002895338477817656e^{-3.1612304061510854u} \\ &- 0.8669330294870135e^{-0.0645182293014091u}\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

([1], Example 3, p. 252 and private communication of H. Albrecher).

(2)

$$c = 2, \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2, \mu = 1, v = 1.$$



$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(u) &= 1 - 0.6322060547624042e^{-0.35541572677584504u} \\ &+ 0.017076223892762123e^{-1.8892285591291944u}\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2(u) &= 1 - 0.7979824803908411e^{-0.35541572677584504u} \\ &+ 0.028242142130125e^{-1.8892285591291944u}\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

([1], Example 4, p. 252 and private communication of H. Albrecher).

The true solution of (3.1)-(3.2) was used to find  $\phi_1(0), \phi_2(0)$ . In the Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1]) paper, formulae for  $\phi_1(0), \phi_2(0)$  are given in terms of  $c, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \chi_1(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} H(x) dF(x), \chi_2(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (1 - H(x)) dF(x)$  and  $\sigma$ , the unique zero with  $\Re(\sigma) > 0$  of

$$\begin{aligned}\left( cs + \frac{\lambda_1 \mu v}{(v+s)(v+\mu+s)} - \lambda_1 \right) \\ \left( cs + \frac{\lambda_2 v}{v+\mu+s} - \lambda_2 \right) \\ - \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \mu v^2}{(v+\mu+s)^2(v+s)} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The numerical results in the following tables show computed values of  $\phi_1(u)$  and  $\phi_2(u)$  in the first and the second row respectively, for 2 step sizes ( $h = 0.1(X = 10, N = 100), h = 0.05(X = 10, N = 200)$ ) and absolute errors using the true solution.

Tables 4.1-4.4 contain results for Example 1 and Tables 4.5-4.8 for Example 2.

The collocation (COL) methods were used with  $m = 3$ , and collocation parameters  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$  which makes the method equivalent to the Simpson's method and with  $m = 4$  and collocation parameters  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$ , the Lobatto points in  $[0, 1]$ .

TABLE 1. Example (1),  
 $m = 3, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$

$u$	$h = 0.1$	True sol	abs error
0.1	$0.62626819E - 01$	$0.62626797E - 01$	$0.22479376E - 07$
	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.47238664E - 08$
0.5	$0.89950758E - 01$	$0.89950691E - 01$	$0.67125716E - 07$
	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.67865370E - 08$
1.0	$0.11991042E + 00$	$0.11991034E + 00$	$0.76612375E - 07$
	$0.18711110E + 00$	$0.18711111E + 00$	$0.10153176E - 07$
1.5	$0.14806868E + 00$	$0.14806861E + 00$	$0.64903008E - 07$
	$0.21300923E + 00$	$0.21300926E + 00$	$0.38220057E - 07$
2.0	$0.17515802E + 00$	$0.17515798E + 00$	$0.38632692E - 07$
	$0.23801095E + 00$	$0.23801102E + 00$	$0.74177443E - 07$
5.0	$0.32031891E + 00$	$0.32031984E + 00$	$0.93062680E - 06$
	$0.37210614E + 00$	$0.37210649E + 00$	$0.35040290E - 06$
8.0	$0.43989763E + 00$	$0.43992671E + 00$	$0.29077939E - 04$
	$0.48260277E + 00$	$0.48260019E + 00$	$0.25730761E - 05$
10.0	$0.50739768E + 00$	$0.50772811E + 00$	$0.33043082E - 03$
	$0.54527564E + 00$	$0.54523562E + 00$	$0.40022689E - 04$

TABLE 2. Example (1),  
 $m = 3, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$

$u$	$h = 0.05$	true sol	abs error
0.1	$0.62626798E - 01$	$0.62626797E - 01$	$0.13828571E - 08$
	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.28691352E - 09$
0.5	$0.89950695E - 01$	$0.89950691E - 01$	$0.40303382E - 08$
	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.37751263E - 09$
1.0	$0.11991034E + 00$	$0.11991034E + 00$	$0.42890287E - 08$
	$0.18711111E + 00$	$0.18711111E + 00$	$0.73286877E - 09$
1.5	$0.14806862E + 00$	$0.14806861E + 00$	$0.29467294E - 08$
	$0.21300926E + 00$	$0.21300926E + 00$	$0.25270754E - 08$
2.0	$0.17515798E + 00$	$0.17515798E + 00$	$0.20825816E - 09$
	$0.23801102E + 00$	$0.23801102E + 00$	$0.47746166E - 08$
5.0	$0.32031969E + 00$	$0.32031984E + 00$	$0.14645911E - 06$
	$0.37210648E + 00$	$0.37210649E + 00$	$0.12403337E - 07$
8.0	$0.43992144E + 00$	$0.43992671E + 00$	$0.52757322E - 05$
	$0.48260079E + 00$	$0.48260019E + 00$	$0.59312340E - 06$
10.0	$0.50766734E + 00$	$0.50772811E + 00$	$0.60765648E - 04$
	$0.54524317E + 00$	$0.54523562E + 00$	$0.75496452E - 05$

TABLE 3. Example (1),

$$m = 4, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$$

$u$	$h = 0.1$	true sol	abs error
0.1	$0.62626797E - 01$ $0.13653165E + 00$	$0.62626797E - 01$ $0.13653165E + 00$	$0.10418263E - 10$ $0.92914565E - 12$
0.5	$0.89950691E - 01$ $0.15999119E + 00$	$0.89950691E - 01$ $0.15999119E + 00$	$0.37317635E - 10$ $0.67967854E - 12$
1.0	$0.11991034E + 00$ $0.18711111E + 00$	$0.11991034E + 00$ $0.18711111E + 00$	$0.65560793E - 10$ $0.44000359E - 11$
1.5	$0.14806861E + 00$ $0.21300926E + 00$	$0.14806861E + 00$ $0.21300926E + 00$	$0.10918097E - 09$ $0.13639700E - 10$
2.0	$0.17515798E + 00$ $0.23801102E + 00$	$0.17515798E + 00$ $0.23801102E + 00$	$0.18816149E - 09$ $0.28674563E - 10$
5.0	$0.32031985E + 00$ $0.37210649E + 00$	$0.32031984E + 00$ $0.37210649E + 00$	$0.69832501E - 08$ $0.93608099E - 09$
8.0	$0.43992699E + 00$ $0.48260016E + 00$	$0.43992671E + 00$ $0.48260019E + 00$	$0.27698438E - 06$ $0.35023847E - 07$
10.0	$0.50773133E + 00$ $0.54523522E + 00$	$0.50772811E + 00$ $0.54523562E + 00$	$0.32154748E - 05$ $0.40522472E - 06$

TABLE 4. Example (1),

$$m = 4, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$$

$u$	$h = 0.05$	true sol	abs error
0.1	$0.62626797E - 01$	$0.62626797E - 01$	$0.16205093E - 12$
	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.13653165E + 00$	$0.13988810E - 13$
0.5	$0.89950691E - 01$	$0.89950691E - 01$	$0.57676086E - 12$
	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.15999119E + 00$	$0.79658502E - 14$
1.0	$0.11991034E + 00$	$0.11991034E + 00$	$0.10044049E - 11$
	$0.18711111E + 00$	$0.18711111E + 00$	$0.74218409E - 13$
1.5	$0.21300926E + 00$	$0.21300926E + 00$	$0.22107316E - 12$
	$0.15082095E + 00$	$0.15082095E + 00$	$0.17501278E - 11$
2.0	$0.17515798E + 00$	$0.17515798E + 00$	$0.28508307E - 11$
	$0.23801102E + 00$	$0.23801102E + 00$	$0.45699555E - 12$
5.0	$0.32031984E + 00$	$0.32031984E + 00$	$0.10559092E - 09$
	$0.37210649E + 00$	$0.37210649E + 00$	$0.14258372E - 10$
8.0	$0.43992672E + 00$	$0.43992671E + 00$	$0.41901815E - 08$
	$0.48260019E + 00$	$0.48260019E + 00$	$0.53005494E - 09$
10.0	$0.50772816E + 00$	$0.50772811E + 00$	$0.48644755E - 07$
	$0.54523561E + 00$	$0.54523562E + 00$	$0.61306801E - 08$

TABLE 5. Example (2),  
 $m = 3, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$

$u$	$h = 0.1$	True sol	abs error
0.1	$0.40400548E + 00$	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.28641088E - 08$
	$0.25326124E + 00$	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.77315149E - 08$
0.5	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.87887970E - 08$
	$0.34292082E + 00$	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.29220979E - 07$
1.0	$0.55947987E + 00$	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.96874941E - 08$
	$0.44497827E + 00$	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.47931404E - 07$
1.5	$0.63004475E + 00$	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.74389767E - 08$
	$0.53342858E + 00$	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.68841873E - 07$
2.0	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.27715154E - 08$
	$0.60864807E + 00$	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.98475122E - 07$
5.0	$0.89307563E + 00$	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.25334961E - 06$
	$0.86503739E + 00$	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.85432232E - 06$
8.0	$0.96318899E + 00$	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.34057188E - 05$
	$0.95352482E + 00$	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.73341840E - 05$
10.0	$0.98193145E + 00$	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.16003596E - 04$
	$0.97714152E + 00$	$0.97717334E + 00$	$0.31816912E - 04$

TABLE 6. Example (2),  
 $m = 3, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$

$u$	$h = 0.05$	True sol	abs error
0.1	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.17810758E - 09$
	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.48182353E - 09$
0.5	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.54571220E - 09$
	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.18199870E - 08$
1.0	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.60062677E - 09$
	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.29853972E - 08$
1.5	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.46117343E - 09$
	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.42914111E - 08$
2.0	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.17273982E - 09$
	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.61462068E - 08$
5.0	$0.89307539E + 00$	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.15794830E - 07$
	$0.86503819E + 00$	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.53516331E - 07$
8.0	$0.96318580E + 00$	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.21303671E - 06$
	$0.95353169E + 00$	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.45932545E - 06$
10.0	$0.98191645E + 00$	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.10016733E - 05$
	$0.97717134E + 00$	$0.97717334E + 00$	$0.19922586E - 05$

TABLE 7. Example (2),

$$m = 4, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$$

$u$	$h = 0.1$	true sol	abs error
0.1	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.86930463E - 13$
	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.99786845E - 12$
0.5	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.15498713E - 12$
	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.41088244E - 11$
1.0	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.28976821E - 12$
	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.71163075E - 11$
1.5	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.12867485E - 11$
	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.10210055E - 10$
2.0	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.29293235E - 11$
	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.14139800E - 10$
5.0	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.51693094E - 10$
	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.11197010E - 09$
8.0	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.51928939E - 09$
	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.10150354E - 08$
10.0	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.23252146E - 08$
	$0.97717333E + 00$	$0.97717334E + 00$	$0.44813431E - 08$



TABLE 8. Example (2),

$$m = 4, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$$

$u$	$h = 0.05$	true sol	abs error
0.1	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.40400549E + 00$	$0.13877788E - 14$
	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.25326125E + 00$	$0.15709656E - 13$
0.5	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.47736507E + 00$	$0.25535130E - 14$
	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.34292085E + 00$	$0.64448447E - 13$
1.0	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.55947988E + 00$	$0.39968029E - 14$
	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.44497832E + 00$	$0.11152190E - 12$
1.5	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.63004476E + 00$	$0.19206858E - 13$
	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.53342865E + 00$	$0.15976109E - 12$
2.0	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.68982821E + 00$	$0.44741988E - 13$
	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.60864816E + 00$	$0.22126745E - 12$
5.0	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.89307538E + 00$	$0.80491169E - 12$
	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.86503824E + 00$	$0.17515989E - 11$
8.0	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.96318559E + 00$	$0.81097351E - 11$
	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.95353215E + 00$	$0.15869306E - 10$
10.0	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.98191545E + 00$	$0.36332048E - 10$
	$0.97717333E + 00$	$0.97717334E + 00$	$0.70048523E - 10$

## 5. NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH PARETO CLAIMS

Let us see not the case with pareto distributed claims. Namely, for  $\kappa > 1$ , we consider the distribution of claim sizes,

$$F(y) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\kappa}{y + \kappa} \right)^\alpha \right] \mathbf{1}_{[y>0]},$$

with density

$$f(y) = \frac{\alpha \kappa^\alpha}{(y + \kappa)^{\alpha+1}},$$

for  $y > 0$  and mean value

$$\frac{\alpha \kappa}{\alpha - 1}.$$

Then we obtain for  $\alpha \neq 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \mathbb{P}[H \leq x] dF(x) \\ &= \alpha \kappa^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx - \alpha \kappa^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx, \\ \chi_2(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \mathbb{P}[H > x] dF(x) = \alpha \kappa^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and thus  $\sigma$  is the unique solution  $s$  with  $Res > 0$  of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ c\sigma + \lambda_2 \alpha \kappa^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx - \lambda_2 \right] \\ & \left[ c\sigma + \lambda_1 \alpha \kappa^\alpha \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx - \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx \right\} - \lambda_1 \right] \\ & = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \alpha^2 \kappa^{2\alpha} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx - \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx \right\} \\ & \quad \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+\mu)x} (x + \kappa)^{-\alpha-1} dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

With thus chosen  $\sigma$  we obtain

$$\phi_2(0+) = \frac{c\sigma + \lambda_2 \chi_2(\sigma) - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \chi_2(\sigma)} \phi_1(0+) = \frac{\lambda_2 \chi_1(\sigma)}{c\sigma + \lambda_1 \chi_1(\sigma) - \lambda_1} \phi_1(0+).$$

So together with the relation

$$[1 - \phi_1(0+)] \frac{\mathbb{P}[X > H]}{\lambda_1} + [1 - \phi_2(0+)] \frac{\mathbb{P}[X \leq H]}{\lambda_2} = \frac{\alpha \kappa}{c(\alpha - 1)},$$

we find the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms of the survival probabilities  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$

$$\frac{c\phi_1(0+) [c\sigma + \lambda_2 \chi_2(s) - \lambda_2] - c\lambda_1 \chi_2(s) \phi_2(0+)}{[c\sigma + \lambda_1 \chi_1(s) - \lambda_1] [c\sigma + \lambda_2 \chi_2(s) - \lambda_2] - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \chi_1(s) \chi_2(s)}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\frac{c\phi_2(0+) [c\sigma + \lambda_1 \chi_1(s) - \lambda_1] - c\lambda_2 \chi_1(s) \phi_1(0+)}{[c\sigma + \lambda_1 \chi_1(s) - \lambda_1] [c\sigma + \lambda_2 \chi_2(s) - \lambda_2] - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \chi_1(s) \chi_2(s)}, \quad (5.3)$$

respectively.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

Numerical results for a model of ultimate ruin theory in the form of a system of two first order Volterra integro-differential equations (Model 1, in Albrecher and Boxma (2004) ([1])) were presented. They were obtained by the application of polynomial collocation methods extended to apply to systems of  $r$  1st order VIDEs.

The results were in good agreement with these found by analytical methods in [1]. The observed order of convergence was  $O(h^4)$  and  $O(h^6)$  for the choices of the collocation parameters being  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$  and  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5(1 - \sqrt{0.2}), c_3 = 0.5(1 + \sqrt{0.2}), c_4 = 1$  respectively. These are in agreement with the theoretical orders of convergence (cf. Brunner (2004) ([2]), p. 174, 175). For the method using  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 1$  applied to Example 1, the order of convergence for large  $u$  was verified by computations obtained with  $h = 0.025$ .

**Keywords:** Numerical solution, collocation methods, Volterra integro-differential equations, actuarial risk management, ultimate time ruin theory.

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank Dr. Hansjörg Albrecher of the Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics, Austrian Academy of Sciences, for kindly providing us (in private email communications) with the true solution of the system of the two integro-differential equations given in section 4, as obtained by Mathematica.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Albrecher, O. J. Boxma, A ruin model with dependence between claim sizes and claim intervals, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 35 (2004), 245–254.
- [2] H. Brunner, *Collocation Methods for Volterra Integral and Related Functional Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [3] H. Brunner, A. Makroglou and R. K. Miller, Mixed interpolation collocation methods for first and second order Volterra integro-differential equations with periodic solution, *Appl. Numer. Math.* 23 (1997), 381–402.

- [4] D. C. M. Dickson and J. R. Gray, Exact solutions for ruin probability in the presence of an absorbing upper barrier, *Scand. Actuarial J.*, 1984, 174–186.
- [5] D. C. M. Dickson, A. D. E. dos Reis, The effect of interest on negative surplus, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 21 (1997), 1–16.
- [6] D. C. M. Dickson and H. R. Waters, Reinsurance and ruin, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 19 (1996), 61–80.
- [7] D. C. M. Dickson and H. R. Waters, The distribution of the time to ruin in the classical risk model, *ASTIN Bulletin*, 32:2 (2002), 299–313.
- [8] J. Gaier and P. Grandits, Ruin probabilities and investment under interest force in the presence of regularly varying tails, *Scand. Actuarial J.*, 2004:4, 256–278.
- [9] V. Kalashnikov and D. Konstantinides, Ruin under interest force and subexponential claims: a simple treatment, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 27 (2000), 145–149.
- [10] C. Klüppelberg and U. Stadtmüller, Ruin probabilities in the presence of heavy-tails and interest rates, *Scand. Actuarial J.*, 1998, 49–58.
- [11] X. S. Lin, G. E. Willmot, S. Drekić, The classical risk model with a constant dividend barrier: analysis of the Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 33 (2003), 551–566.
- [12] P. Linz, *Analytical and Numerical Methods for Volterra Equations*, SIAM, 1985.
- [13] A. Makroglou, Integral equations and actuarial risk management: some models and numerics, *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, 8:2 (2003), 143–154.
- [14] A. Makroglou, Numerical solution of some second order integro-differential equations arising in ruin theory, *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference in Actuarial Science and Finance*, 2-5 September, 2004, Samos, Greece.
- [15] A. Makroglou, W. Harper, B. Smith, Computational treatment of the integro-differential equations of collective non-ruin; the ultimate non-ruin case, pp. 341–350, in: *Volterra Equations and Applications*, Eds: C. Corduneanu, I.W. Sandberg, Gordon and Breach, 2000 (Stability and Control, Theory, Methods and Applications Series, Vol. 10, Eds. V. Lakshmikantham and A. A. Martynyuk).
- [16] A. Makroglou and D. Konstantinides, Numerical solution of some first order integro-differential equations arising in ultimate ruin theory, *Proceedings of the HERMCMA 2006 Conference*, Athens University of Economics and Business, 24-26 Sept. 2006, Athens, Greece. (<http://www.aueb.gr/pympe/hercma/proceedings2005/H05-FULL-PAPERS-1/MAKROGLOU-KONSTANTINIDES-1.pdf>).
- [17] F. Michaud, Estimating the probability of ruin for variable premiums by simulation, *Astin Bulletin*, 26 (1996), 93–105.

- [18] T. Mikosch, *Non-Life Insurance Mathematics. An Introduction with Stochastic Processes*, Springer, 2004.
- [19] C. M. Möller, The probability of ruin in view of the Doléans equation, Preprint, Univ. of Copenhagen, 25 March, 1996.
- [20] C. S. Peters and M. Mangel, New methods for the problem of collective ruin, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 50 (1990), 1442–1456.
- [21] S. Schlegel, Ruin probabilities in perturbed risk models, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 22 (1998), 93–104.
- [22] D. A. Stanford and K. J. Stroiński, K. Lee., Ruin probabilities based at claim instants for some non-Poisson claim processes, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 26 (2000), 251–267.